

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,498	06/19/2001	Yukio Horiuchi	45354/DBP/T360	4466	
23363 7:	590 09/09/2005		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			PHAN, HANH		
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2638	<u>-</u>	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
_	09/885,498	HORIUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	Hanh Phan	2638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: , cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  From the mailing date of this communic  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	<u>une 2001</u> .					
,—						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			ts is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-18</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	· · ·				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	s have been received.					
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		e			
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Sum					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/885,498

Art Unit: 2638

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is responsive the Amendment filed on 08/22/2005.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of Species A, Fig. 1, directed to claims 1-8 and 11-18 in the reply filed on 08/22/2005 is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Habel et al (US Patent No. 6,592,273).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 11 and 13, referring to Figure 2, Habel teaches an optical data transmission method to transmit an optical packet composed of a header and data comprising steps of:

generating a second clock (i.e., second clock fch is generated by clock circuit 7, Fig. 2) which has a frequency equal to one integer of that of a first clock carrying the data and synchronizes with the first clock; and

Application/Control Number: 09/885,498

Art Unit: 2638

carrying the header information (i.e., overhead data, Fig. 2) on the second clock (see col. 3, lines 27-53).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 4-8, 12 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Habel et al (US Patent No. 6,592,273) in view of Bergano (US Patent No. 6,310,709).

Regarding claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 12, 14, 15 and 17, Habel teaches all aspects of the claimed invention except fails to specifically teach the second clock is phase-modulated by the header information. However, Bergano in US Patent No. 6,310,709 teaches the second clock is phase-modulated (Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 25-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the second clock is phased modulated as taught by Bergano in the system of Habel. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Bergano suggests in column 2, lines 25-58 that using such the second clock is phased modulated have advantage of allowing reducing the interference between the data signal and overhead data and increasing the transmission performance of a system.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,498 Page 4

Art Unit: 2638

Regarding claims 6, 8, 16 and 18, Habel further teaches a converter (i.e., light source 6, Fig. 2) to convert the output data from the data arranger into an optical signal.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-3035.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye, can be reached on (571)272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

HANH PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER